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Abstract

Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) were implemented in 2000 ostensibly to accelerate development within its 15 years plan of action. In the credence of this notion, Nigeria was one of the early countries that adopted the rational policy. Prior to the introduction of MDG, the country had implemented diverse developmental policies which are said not to have delivered the expected dividend. Hence, no sooner, the MDGs came to an end; the impulse of another developmental goal became necessary. Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) succeeding MDGs reiterates questions such as, how well did MDGs perform in developing countries? Where the aims of the MDGs met? If MDGs struggle to achieve 8 goals, how possible will SDGs 17 goals be realized? It is in this light, that the study using secondary data evaluate the MDG era in Nigeria, how far and how well they achieved their set target. The study suggests that although MDGs era in Nigeria recorded slight progress with regards to targeted goals, it did not meet the required plausible targets. Hence, as a very effective way of achieving sustainable development, the study recommends good governance and prioritizing of goals according to the country needs.

Keywords: MDGs, SDGs, Nigeria, Poverty Reduction, Good Governance.
Abbreviations

CBN- Central Bank of Nigeria
DFFRI- Directorate of Foods, Roads and Rural Infrastructure
EFA- Education for All
FMARD- Federal Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development
FME- Federal Ministry of Education
FMWASD- Federal Ministry of Women Affairs and Social Development
FMWR- Federal Ministry of Water Resources
GDP- Gross Domestic Product
MDGs- Millennium Development Goals
NACA- National Agency for the Control of HIV/AIDS
NBS- National Bureau of Statistics
NDHS- National Demographic and Health Survey
NMCP- National Malaria Control Programme
NTBLCP- National Tuberculosis and Leprosy Control Programme
ODA- Official Development Assistance
ODI- Overseas Development Institute
OFN- Operation Feed the Nation
PAP- Poverty Alleviation Programme
PCAMMDGs- Presidential Committee for the Assessment and Monitoring of the MDGs
SAP- Structural Adjustment Programme
SDGs- Sustainable Development Goals
UN- United Nations
UNDP- United Nations Development Programme
UNICEF- United Nations Children’s Fund
UPE- Universal Primary Education
USAID- United States Agency for International Development
VPF- Virtual Property Fund
WHO- World Health Organisation
OSSAP-MDGs- Office of the Senior Special Adviser to the President, MDGs.
Introduction

Development has become a haphazard phenomenon in the world, most especially in the 21st century. This impetus can be aligned to problems such as poverty, climate change, food security, corruption, unemployment and lopsided incomes which continue to be on the increase. Hence, states have been addressing its course in accordance to the socio-political and economical challenge prevalent in their varying societies; international organizations also have not disregarded the upbeat impact of development.

International organizations like the United Nations have long recognized the key role of development since 1960 when “development” became its central theme (Jackson, 2007; Hong, 2015:4). Although, the most important urge during the era was to reduce hunger prevalent among countries especially in Africa, parts of Asia and Caribbean. Over time, the UN has gone beyond addressing the issues of hunger; to intervention programmes so as to eliminate illiteracy, hunger and diseases. Albeit, with little successes.

In light of this, and in recognition of the status of developing countries, the World Bank, IMF and Western Countries advocated Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP) in the 1980s as a response to African economic crisis of the 1970s (Jackson, ibid; Heidhues and Obare, 2011: 57-59; Hong, ibid). However, SAP which was intended to address Africa’s key economic problems by laying emphasis on privatization and free market development did not achieve the desired goals.

Consequently, the United Nations development agenda in the 1990s broadened to focus more on social and human development dimensions through numerous global summits, conferences, declarations and strategies such as Copenhagen Declaration; 1995 World Summit for Social Development; The Fourth World Conference on Women, amongst others. Despite this momentum in enhancing development, new challenges such as conflicts in African countries, democratic changes in Europe and slow economy emerged to thwart this effort (Jackson, 2007).

Nevertheless, in September 2000, many of these goals were incorporated into the resolutions of the United Nations Millennium Summit in New York and endorsed by 189 member states to be known as the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

The MDGs which comprises eight major goals and 18 targets were time bound till 2015 to halve developmental issues in comparison with the 1990 figures. Essentially, these goals seek to address key areas of development such as: poverty, education, health care, environmental sustainability and international cooperation. Compared to the other region
in the world, African and developing countries takes into cognizance the great opportunity MDGs have in promoting development through human welfare in the world (ADB, 2002; UNECA, 2016). However, with the wind-up of MDGs, the world still seems to have problems with development and poverty eradication especially amongst the developing countries (Sahn and Stifel, 2003:23-28; Aleyomi, 2013: 4-9; MDG Report, 2015). These categories of country also encompass Nigeria.

**Background**

The issue of underdevelopment in Nigeria is a paradox considering its poor state in comparison with the vast natural and human resources that exists in the country (Oshewolo, 2010:264). The richly endowed country which was one of the wealthiest 50 countries in the world in the 1970s has retrogressed in trading shoulders with the poorest countries in the twenty first century (Ugoh and Ukpere, 2009:849; Oshewolo, 2010: 267; Ngara, 2014:49). An apt example is to compare Nigeria with countries such as Malaysia, China and Indonesia. It could be recalled that all this countries which were in the same class with Nigeria has outgrown Nigeria in growth and development. For instance, Malaysia got their first palm seedling from Nigeria in the early 1960s when palm oil was already a thriving trade good in the country (Chukwuemeka, 2009:406). However, in 1990s, Malaysia’s export of palm oil produce attained much financial gain than that of Nigeria earnings from oil exports (Eshalomi and Ayodele, 2010; 6-8; Okezie and Baharuddin, 2011:369). Likewise, China, which was seen as a nonaligned developing country in conjunction with Nigeria, is one of the world’s largest exporters of manufactured goods (Utomi, 2008:39). This development is attributed to Deng Xiaoping’s reform policies of the 1970s and the 1980s such as creation of a diversified economy, fiscal decentralization and collectivized agriculture. Indonesia another of Nigeria’s contemporary in GDP size, is an agrarian and religious society, which also experienced oil boom in the 1970s, has seen its GDP sizes grow considerably. Both countries took different policy choices, while Indonesia policy led to 40% increase in total export, Nigeria’s export is less than 1% the same as in the 1970s (Chukwuemeka, ibid).

In a bid to ameliorate the grave consequences of poverty and developmental disorder, successive Nigerian government have designed and implemented numerous policies and projects to tackle the scourge. Maduagwu (2005) identified 35 developmental programmes that have been undertaken by the state at different intervals. These include inter alia:
Operation Feed the Nation (OFN) in 1976; Green Revolution in 1980 to address poverty; Directorate of Foods, Roads and Rural Infrastructure (DFFRI) in 1986 to construct good roads, provide rural water and electrification supply for those in the rural areas; National Directorate of Employment (NDE) in 1986 aimed at providing financing, training and guidance for the unemployed youths; Poverty Alleviation Programme (PAP) which was introduced in 2000 to address the problems of rising unemployment in the society, boost economy productiveness, and ensure Nigerians are provided with basic necessities of life such as: affordable health care, sanitized environment, quality education amongst others (Chukwuemeka, 2009; Adebayo, 2012: 2-3; Onwe and Chibuzor, 2015:227-230).

Regardless of these developmental programmes, development expected is yet to take place (Anger, 2010; Oshelowo, 2011; Banwo and Oluranti, 2013; Adejumo and Adejumo, 2014). Instead, poverty, unemployment, child mortality, corruption and lopsided income have been on the increase. Poverty profile in Nigeria shows that poverty level in the country had been on the rise. In 1980, the poverty level moved from 28.1% to 46.3% in 1985; although it declined to 42.7% in 1992, it increased to 65.2% in 1996 and 69.2% in 1997. In 2004, Nigeria’s relative poverty rate stood at 54.4% and perked to 69% in 2010, while the absolute poverty rate stood at 54.7% and increased to 60.9% in 2010 (Chukwuemeka, 2009: 405; NBS, 2010, Igbuzor, 2013).

In view of all these enumerated challenges and the determination in promoting sustainable development, Nigeria like other United Nation member countries embraced the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs).

The MDGs comprises of eight different goals to be halved by 2015 in comparison to 1990. They include: eradicating extreme poverty and hunger; achievement of universal primary education, promotion of gender equality and women empowerment; reduction of child mortality; improvement in maternal health; combating HIV/AIDS, Malaria and other diseases; ensure environmental sustainability and developing global partnership for development (United Nations Millennium Project, 2006). Scholars (Edoh, 2003; Mahammad, 2006; Lawal and Rotimi, 2012:74) are of the opinion that the accomplishment of the MDGs will bring about a difference in the living standard of the people in the society. Hence, this paper attempts to evaluate the successes and challenges witnessed during the Nigerian MDGs era using UN stipulated target and steered up deliverables in the new adopted SDGs. However, it is imperative for the study to provide clarity to some concepts as they are used in this study.
CONCEPTUAL CONTEXT

Development

Development means as a concept is a victim of definitional pluralism which has been given different meanings to its credence by different scholars based on their ideological belief or intellectual capacity. The linear movement of the concept from an economic growth based to general well-being and now multi-dimensional well-being had kept the definition varying (Seers, 1969; Harris, 2000; UNDP, 2000; S’a Cobinah, Black and Thwaite, 2011, UNDP, 2015).

Rodney (1976) describes development as the ability of a state to harness its resources for the beneficial use of its citizens. Ghai (1977:6) defines development as the availability and accessibility of man’s fundamental needs such as: food, shelter, clothing, education and health. Todaro and Smith (2005: 51) cited in Akume (2014: 443) views “development as a distinct transformation by which an entire society transforms the lives of its citizenry and social groups from an unsatisfactory way of life to a fine-tuned life, regarded to be materially and spiritually better. Seers (1969:2-5) categorized poverty, unemployment, worsening inequality and lopsided income to be signs of an unsatisfactory life. Seers contend that a country’s developmental status by answering the question: what has been happening to poverty, inequality and unemployment? This question implicitly is clearly what MDGs seeks to address.

Therefore, it can be deduced that the whole essence of development is to enhance advancement and improvement that is reflective on individuals, and the political, economic, social and cultural aspect of the state.

Sustainable Development

Sustainable development simply means development that can be maintained or kept going without having any detrimental effect to the future. The oft-quoted Brutland Commission report which has been a fundamental groundwork for scholars on sustainable development defined sustainable development to mean, “The development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of the future generations to meet their own needs” (WCED, 1987:3). Similarly, a UK Direct Government website, explain sustainable development to mean a better standard of living for people and for the future generations to come (Rogers, Jalal and Boyd, 2012; Reid, 2013). Sustainable development also means not using up resources faster than the earth could replenish or decision making that could
degenerate the future. In furtherance, sustainable development is mainly achieved through
the integration and acknowledgement of economic, environmental and social concerns
(Newman and Rowe, 2003:24; Kates, Parris and Leiserowitz, 2005; Adejumo and Adejumo,
2014:33; Emas, 2015:2). This aforementioned of sustainable development has been
regarded as the underlying basis for the adoption of the MDGs.

**Millennium Development Goals (MDGs)**
The focal point of Government in any society is to spearhead development in the social,
cultural, economic and political level. In ensuring this, physical necessities and basic rights
such as shelter, food, clothing, equality, employment and freedom must be made
accessible to the citizenry. It is on this premise, that the UN hosted 189 heads of states to
a millennium summit in addressing inimical challenges to development.

However, the implementation of this time bound developmental goals received mixed
feelings as to its actualization, especially with regards to Africa (Haines and Cassels,
2004:394-395; Igbuzr, 2006:4; Aleyomi, 2013:1-3; Dada and Owolabi, 2013; Haya and
Nkondo, 2016:176). On the contrary, to the tail end of 2015, Ban-Ki-Moon reported that
the contrary, has yielded astonishing results all over the world in areas such as: poverty,
child mortality, maternal mortality amongst others (End Poverty, 2015; Jones, 2015; UN,
2015). Likewise, Nigeria has recorded slight progress in the actualization of the goals, while
remarkable progress is made in certain areas, some are met halfway. As elaborated in the
later part of this paper, there is still much to be desired in this area in Nigeria context.

**Synthesis of the Millennium Development Goals: Global View**
After World War II, countries leaned to constructs, concepts or ideologies that speak
towards development (Ake, 1996:1; Adjei, 2007:21; Fukuda-Parr and Hulme, 2011:17). Thus,
as the world was entering into a new millennium, the United Nations welcomed the MDGs
as a developmental key in improving human well-being all over the world (Jahan, 2003:2;
Hulme, 2009:4-9). The global aura of MDGs which got a mixed reaction from
commentators has however indicted significant progress globally on the goals (MDG
Report, 2015). According to UN reports, despite the uneven achievements and shortfalls
in many areas, the MDGs has saved millions of lives and improved conditions for many
others (The Guardian, 2015). The report shows, the number of people who survives on less
than $1.25 a day has been reduced from 1.9 billion in 1990 to 836 million in 2015; the
number of undernourished people also fell by half in 2015. In the area of education,
Primary school enrolment rate in developing nations rose to 91% in 2015 from 83% in 2000; the global under five mortality rates has declined by more than half, dropping from 90 to 43 deaths per 1000 live births. The fight against malaria witnessed huge improvement, for instance, more than 900 million insecticide treated mosquito nets were delivered to malaria endemic countries between 2000 and 2014. With regards to accessible water, about 2.6 billion have gained access to improved drinking water. There has also been an indirect flow between drinking water target and sanitation. Globally, 147 nations have met the drinking water target, 95 countries have met the sanitation target while 77 countries have met both (The Guardian, 2015; Odunyemi, 2015:34-35; UN MDGs Report, 2015).

The MDGs were relatively successful in Sub-Saharan Africa, although, some scholars believe the performance could have been better (Global Poverty Report, 2002; UN World Summit, 2005; MDG Report, 2007, Aribigbola, 2009). Many countries in Sub-Saharan Africa were able to record accelerated progress. For example in Rwanda poverty reduced drastically from 78% to 44.9% in 2003 with the help of MDGs induced policies (Sangado, et al, 2003). Countries like Benin, Togo, Tanzania and Sao-Tome made considerable change in the area of education (MDGs Report, 2011). Similarly, Nigeria recorded improved performance in its MDG Goals.

**Millennium Development Goals in Nigeria: Myth or Reality**

Nigeria was among the 189 countries that adopted the MDGs in year 2000. The programme was part of the government plan to reduce poverty and uphold sustainable development. The MDGs however commenced in 2005, after the cancellation of the debt relief. The cancellation of the debt enabled the government to save US$1 billion annually and this led to the increase and target of pro-poor interventions such as YES, YouWin, Conditional Cash Transfer, Conditional Grant Scheme, etc. (Igbuzor, 2013; Olabode, et al, 2014:47-48; Nigeria MDGs Report:16-17). MDGs project in Nigeria have gulped about N1.4 trillion between 2006 and 2010 (Az-Zubair; 2010). Prodding further, an analysis of the 2012 budget shows that a total of more than 3 trillion was spent on MDGs (PCAMMDGs, 2013).

The robust disbursement of funds towards MDGs programme initiates commitment to the actualization of the MDG goals and targets. However, assessment reports of the MDGs do not seem to suggest much success. The 2004 report which was Nigeria’s first report on MDGs suggest that it was unlikely the country would realize most of the goals air marked
for 2015 especially halving poverty and hunger, reducing child mortality amongst other key goals (MDG Report, 2004:iv). The 2005 reports however contains some ray of hope with regards to Nigeria actualizing some of the millennium development targets such as universal primary education, ensuring environmental stability, developing global partnership. The report also stated that Nigeria could achieve other targets such as eradicating extreme poverty and hunger; gender equality, reducing child mortality, improving maternal health by 2015 given the current policies set in place and the debts which was exonerated within that year span (Nigeria MDG Report, 2005).

The 2008 Nigeria MDG Report which denoted a mid-point of the 15 years millennium journey, however, indicated a slow paced approach in the realization of the goals on the underlying basis of the 2005 Nigeria MDG report (Nigeria Mid-Point Assessment, 2008; Igbuzor, 2013:13). The report indicates that the number of people living in poverty was to have declined from 54.7% to 28.7% in 2007 in ascertaining the assurance of the goal but it has not gotten there. On the other hand, infant mortality rose from 81 per 1000 live births in the year 2000 to 110 per 1000 live births in 2005/2006 against the global target of 30 per 1000 live births in 2015; Under the midway target, maternal mortality rates were expected to fall within 440 per 100000 live births, however, it was 828 deaths per 100000 live births and 531 deaths per 100000 live births in urban areas. The numbers of people with access to safe drinking water rose from 54% in 2000 to 60% in 2005/2006 while the proportion of the population with access to basic sanitation dropped from 42.9 in 2000 to 38% in 2005/2006 (Nigeria midpoint Assessment, 2008; Lawal, et al, 2012: 77-78; Igbuzor, 2013: 10-12).

In view of the poor mid-point performance, some scholars are of the opinion that the country may be unable to achieve the MDGs target in 2015 especially given the lack of transparency and accountability, misuse of funds, poor coordination and corruption facing the implementation of most of the MDG Programmes (Ibrahim and Igbuzor, 2009; Oshelowo, 2011; Lawal et al, 2012; Ajiye, 2014; Imodu, 2014; Omoh, 2014, Odunyemi, 2015). Notwithstanding the challenges that the MDGs are facing, some gains were recorded in key sectors.

**MDGs in Nigeria: Performance Review**

According to the Presidential Committee on MDGs, for Nigeria to accomplish the MDGs targets in Nigeria, poverty rate is expected to reduce from, 42.7% in 1990 to 21.35% in
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2015; children dropouts are assumed to be completely eliminated; infant mortality rate per 1000 ought to decrease from 191 to 63.7; maternal mortality rate from 740 to 176 in 2015; improved access to sanitation from 39% to 70% (Igbuzor, 2013:12). However, the completion of the MDG era has indicated incremental improvements in some areas and challenges in some as evidenced below:

**Eradicate Extreme Poverty and Hunger**
Nigeria being one of the most populous country in Sub-Saharan Africa following its poverty records, contributes a major quota to the poverty statistic in the region. Statistics show that poverty prevalence in the country has been on decline. Using the halve of 1992 data which was estimated at 42.7% as a benchmark upon which progress or lack of it was gauged, poverty status has been said to be swaying. In 2004, Nigeria poverty status rose from 53.3% to 65.6% in 2006; then moderated to 54.4% in 2011 (Bello, 2007:46; Nwanolue, 2014: 5-6; Nigerian MDGs Report, 2015; 29). However, a report by World Bank (2014) stated that 33.1% Nigerians lives in poverty which is a closer range to the 21.35% target for 2015. This report however contradicts the NBS (2011) and UNDP (2013) report estimate that about 69% people live in poverty.

The figures appear not to have taken into consideration the country’s vast economic growth rate following the country’s poverty menace and restrict it to specific sectors like agriculture and manufacturing (ADB, 2015).

The upturn in the agricultural sector led to the notable achievement of Nigeria’s fight against hunger. FAO (2013) reports that Nigeria has been able to reduce hunger by 66% in 2012, meeting the MDG target 3 years prior 205 deadline. This development reduced the proportion of underweight children from 35.7% in 1990 to 25.5% in 2014 as against the 2015 benchmark of 17.85%.

In light of the above, eradicating hunger in Nigeria recorded a remarkable success while poverty reduction did was not achieved.

**Achieving Universal Primary Education**
Education is an established instrument in bringing about development in any Nation. On this platform, MDGs provided 2015 as a bench mark for children everywhere in the world, boys and girls alike to complete a full primary education. On this ground, the Nigerian Government introduced the Universal Primary
Education (UPE), Education for All Policy (EFA) and the Federal Teachers Scheme (FTS) to ensure the effective progress of MD Goal 2 (Ajiye, 2014:28; Nigeria MDG Report, 2015:39-45).

This programme has yielded remarkable achievement following the improvement in school net enrolment rate from 60% in 1995 to 84% in 2004; 87.6% in 2006 and 88.8% in 2008 (MDG Report, 2013). However, due to insurgency in the Northern part and insecurity in some other parts of the country, the net enrolment rate dropped to 59% in 2011 and moderated to 68.7% in 2014 (Nigeria MDG Report, 2015: 39-40; Odunyemi, 2015:35).

The primary six completion rate has also witnessed a strong progress to its feet. Starting with a good performance of 73% in 1993 to 89% in 2006, dropped to 82% in 2013 then picked up to 85.5% in 2015 (Nwanolue, 2014:8; Odunyemi, ibid; Nigerian MDG Report, ibid).

On the other hand, the literacy rate of 15-24 years old has witnessed fair progress as revealed by available data. From 64.1% in 2000 to 80% in 2008; bounced to 65.6% in 2011 and stepped up to 66.7% in 2014 as against the 100% target of 2015 (NBS, 2015).

**Promote Gender Equality and Women Empowerment**

This target is so important to the Nigerian government because one in every household in Nigeria is a woman and also the vital importance of women and girls in the development of a region. This importance has led to the clamor for gender equality, (Agbalajobi, 2010:75-76; Manion, 2012:229-235; Mullings, 2014; Mazibuko, 2016, Onochie, 2016). For the purpose of this paper, gender equality here is understood as giving a woman same entitlement as her male counterparts. The Gender Equality Index, Nigeria ranks 118 out of 134 countries (Ukaid Gender Report, 2012). The report espoused that women make up only 21% of the non-agricultural paid labor force and are also politically underrepresented.

Nonetheless, the situation is improving from the FMWA&SD (2014) reports, sub nationals governments in Nigeria have come up with policies to discourage gender disparity in the society. For instance, many states in the Northern part of the country has enacted laws to prohibit street begging and hawking; withdrawal of girls from school and enforcement of fundamental human rights for girls. There
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is also a gender equality policy implemented under the Education for All project. This enabling policies has been background upon which gender equality is been promoted. Statistics shows that there has been a progressive increase in the ratio of girls to boy in primary school. In 1995, the ratio was 86% but declined to 78% in 2000. The figure climbed to 90% in 2012 and 94% in 2013. Similarly, ratio of girls to boys in secondary school witnessed similar turnaround from 78% in 1991 to 86% in 2005 and 91% in 2013 (FME, 2015). Share of women in wage employment has also witnessed a slight progress from 6.6% in 1990 to 7.7% in 2010 and 14% in 2012 has compared to the desired 100% target. Women involvement in politics has also witnessed changes. Statistics showed that women seats in the parliament improved from 3.1% in 2000 to 7.5 in 2008 and 5.11% in 2015 as compared to the 35% required target for 2015 (NBS, 2009; FMWA&SD, 2015). At the state level, seats occupied by women in all Nigerian states were 2.19% in 1999 and jumped to 6.97% in 2011. There was an impressive growth at the grass root level, where seats chaired by women increased from 1.21% in 1999 to 9.43% in 2007 (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2015:57-59).

Clearly, from the foregoing statistics, the crusade for women empowerment appears to be making impressive progress but it is still way below the 35% target.

Reducing Child Mortality

MDG 4 aims to reduce the mortality of children under five years of age from 191 per 1000 in 1990 to approximately 64 per 1000 live in 2015; and infant mortality rate (IMR) from 91 deaths in 1990 to 31 deaths per 1000 people (Nwanolue, et al, 2014:10).

However, the under-five mortality rate has witnessed slight progress as it has improved from 191 deaths in 1990 to 89 deaths per 1000 in 2015 as against the 63.7 deaths per 1000 target for 2015. IMR has also witnessed a similar trend, from 91 deaths per 1000 to 100 deaths per 1000 in 2004, then declined progressively to 58 in 2014 as against the 2015 30.3 deaths per 1000 target (NBS, 2014; NDHS, 2014).

An appreciable progress has been met in this area even though targets were not met. There is room for greater improvement in this area given the establishment of government interventions such as the Sure-P initiative to
incentivize the uptake of maternal and child health services; the Global Polo Initiative and the Immunization Strategic Plan.

**Improve Maternal Health**

Maternal Mortality Ratio (MMR) is the number of people who die from any cause related to or aggravated by pregnancy or its management excluding accidental or intended cause during pregnancy and child birth or within 42 days of the termination of pregnancy (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2015:68).

WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA and World Bank (2012), shows that Nigeria has the 10th highest MMR in the world, with 630 women dying per 100,000 births. USAID (2012) in providing clarity stated in their report that an estimated 40,000 Nigerian women die during pregnancy and child birth each year. Another 1 million to 1.6 million people suffer from serious disabilities/ complications from pregnancy and birth related causes annually.

Nevertheless, in the actualization of the MMR target in Nigeria, there has been a remarkable progress from a value of 1000 in 1990 to 243 maternal deaths per 1000, waylaying the 2015 target of 250 maternal deaths per 1000 (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2015).

Additionally, the proportion of births attended by skilled health personnel increased from a low of 36.3% in 2004 to 58.6% in 2014. Antenatal care coverage also witnessed changes from 6% in 2004 to 68.9% in 2014 as against the 100% target (Nigeria MDGs Report, ibid).

**Combat HIV and AIDS, Malaria and Other Diseases**

HIV/AIDs epidemic has become one of the complex health problems worldwide. USAID (2006) reported that 4.3 million people across the globe were affected in the year 2006.

In Nigeria, statistics shows that HIV prevalence among young women aged 15-24 is on the decline. The rate of infection fell from 5.8% in 2001 to 4.1% in 2010. This however falls short of the 2015 target of 0.9% (NACA, 2011). Proportion of the population with advanced HIV infection that has access to antiretroviral drugs increased from 23.9% in 2000 to 48% in 2014 as against the 100% 2015 target.
With regards to Malaria, there has been a sharp increase in the prevalence rate, despite distribution of long-lasting insecticide treated bed nets and other malaria preventives. The statistics which was 2,122,663 in 1998 increased to 5,326,573 in 2008 and 10,143,142 as against the 0 target for 2015 (NMCP, 2013).

Similarly, progress has been made with tuberculosis. For instance, NTBLCP (2013) observed that there was a decrease from 343 per 100,000 people to 338 per 100,000 with tuberculosis in 2013. However, a survey carried out in 2014 indicated an occurrence of about 600,000 new cases of tuberculosis with 91,354 placed on treatment (NTBLCP, 2015).

**Ensure Environmental Sustainability**

Nigeria commitment to ensuring environmental sustainability is enshrined in its Vision 2020, which is an integrated long term development plan for the country. Also, the Nigerian Government established a Federal Ministry of Environment in 2008, with the constitutional mandate in protecting the environment against pollution and degradation (Nigeria MDGs Report: 2015:86). But Nigeria’s natural resource like its forest keeps depleting. Between 2000 and 2010 for example, forest area shrank from 14.4% to 9.9%. The country also keeps losing forest cover at a startling rate of 3.5% per annum equalizing to 350,000 to 400,000 hectares per year (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2013).

Similarly, access to safe water and sanitation has been a challenge to Nigeria. The country’s progress towards this area has been erratic and dawdling. The percentage of the population with access to improved drinking water source from any of the following channel like piped water, borehole, protected spring or rain water is 67% as against the 77% 2015 required target (FMWR, 2014; NBS, 2014). Even though citizens played discernible roles in providing their own boreholes, well and other sources of safe water (FMR, 2014).

In all, Nigeria has more to do in the area of environmental sustainability. As noted by FAO (2010), forestry provides employment for over 2 million people particularly in the harvesting of fuel, wood and poles but due to deforestation, not more than 80,000 people work in log processing industries, especially in the forest zones of the south. There is also need to scale up access to drinking water because improved drinking water, safe water and sanitation will help in reducing
number of deaths from diseases such as cholera, diarrhea, ring worm and typhoid. All of which are symptoms of acute water shortage and grimy environment.

**Develop Global Partnership for Development**

The flow of Official Development Assistance (ODA) from developed countries to Nigeria has increased dramatically since 2004, with the health sector as a leading recipient. The health sector so far has received about US$480,017,028 from donors as compared to other sectors (National Planning Commission, 2015). The accrual of these funds has contributed to the attainment of health related target especially Goal 4.5 and 6 (Nigeria MDG Report, 2015:96). The agricultural sector has also benefitted greatly from the ODA which it’s visible in the appreciable progress made in dealing with hunger as stipulated in Goal one. Other benefits of ODA had been used in setting up developmental programmes and initiatives such as Mid-wives Service Scheme, Universal Basic Scheme, Conditional Grant Scheme, Conditional Cash Transfer, Vocational Training Scheme, Roll back Malaria Partnership with global fund in providing insecticide treated mosquito nets and Federal Teachers Scheme (Ajiye, 2014:30).

In promoting global partnership through communication, Nigeria had witnessed tremendous changes. The number of fixed telephone line dropped from 0.30 in 1990 to 0.10 in 2014 owing to the introduction of Global System Mobile (GSM) which was massively embraced from 0.00 in 1990 to 77.84% in 2014 following its easy and handy access to communication. Tele-density has rapidly grown from 0.73 in 2001 to 99.3 per every 100 persons given way for a phenomenal growth. The number of internet users, also relatively grew from 3.53 per 100 people in 2005 to 42.68 users per 100 people in 2014 due to the advantage of enabling easy socio economic activities at a relative low cost (NCC, 2014; Nigeria MDG Report, 2015:98-99).

As statistics above shows, much progress was made in respect to meeting Goal 8. Table one below presents an overview of the progresses made thus far using the MDG Nigeria actualization target to gauge.
### MDG GOALS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MDG GOALS</th>
<th>Progress Towards Target</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eradicating extreme poverty and hunger</td>
<td>Appreciable progress especially in reducing hunger and underweight children</td>
<td>Goal not Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieving Universal Primary Education</td>
<td>Slight progress mainly because of the insurgescences and insecurities in some parts of the country</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promoting Gender Equality and Women Empowerment</td>
<td>Satisfactory progress in areas in the ratios of girls to boys in school and Weak progress in women empowerment.</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of Child Mortality</td>
<td>Satisfactory Progress</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement in Maternal Health</td>
<td>Appreciable Progress in Maternal Mortality Ratio.</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weak progress in other indicators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combating HIV/AIDS, Malaria and Other Diseases</td>
<td>Weak Progress</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ensuring environmental sustainability</td>
<td>Appreciable progress in the provision of safe drinking water. Weak progress in other Indicators</td>
<td>Goal not met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developing Global Partnership for Development</td>
<td>Appreciable and Satisfactory Progress</td>
<td>Goal met</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Nigeria MDGs Report (2015)

### Challenges Facing the Nigeria Millennium Development Goals

Nigeria’s end report of the Millennium Development Goals presented a bag of mixed results as seen above. While there has been appreciable progress in some selected goals, others have been faced with challenges. The reports reveal that Nigeria recorded tremendous success from 2005 to 2014 with regards to ODA which amounts to US $1,282,205,297\(^1\) mainly from OECD countries. There also has been improved performance in fund disbursement. As affirmed by the MDGs office, over one trillion naira was spent for the implementation of MDG targets and objectives in the country between 2007 and 2010 (Leadership Newspaper, 2010). The robust disbursement of funds do not however

---

\(^1\) The fund is a total amount of all the accrued sectors (agriculture, civil society, education, health, energy/environment, Governance, Government, Human Rights, Political System, Poverty Alleviation, Women Empowerment) which received aid.
reflect in the country’s level of poverty, infant mortality, unemployment, diseases, etc. (Nwanolue, et al., 2014:17; NPC, 2015). As argued by Nwanolue, et al (ibid), it is unlikely that all these accrued funds were solely dedicated to the spending of pro-poor projects and programmes towards the achievement of MDGs. Awofadeji (2009), in his article provided instances where funds were disbursed for the supply and distribution of drugs when there are no health facilities. Also, N430 billion awarded for MDG Projects within 2006-2009 went back into Government purse through counterpart funding by different states (Alabi and Ojor, 2011:238).

Other scholars such as, Alabi and Ojor, 2011: 237-243; Oshelowo, 2011: 18-19; Abdulgafar, et al., 2013:68; Cyprian, et al., 213:509; Terungwa and Akwen, 2014:115; Odunyemi, 2015:37 have also attributed challenges such as poor governance, corruption, lack of accountability and transparency, policy inconsistency, lack of holistic database and insurgencies to be the reasons for the mixed results of the MDGs. For instance, Ribadu (2005) asserted a whooping sum of US$400 to be funds squandered and reserved by Nigerian leaders in various Western banks. Similarly, the federal government in a report documented they have been able to make cash recoveries totalling to N78, 325,354,631.82; $185,119,584.61; £11,250 and recoveries under interim forfeiture (cash and assets) equalizing to N126,563,481,095.43; $9,090,243,920.15; £2,484,447.55 within May 29, 2015 to May 25, 2016 from looters of public treasury (Aziken and Nwabughiogu, 2016; Mail and Guardian Africa, 2016; Vanguard,2016). Allegedly, all these looted funds could have been used to carry out effective and efficient programmes and intervention plans for the betterment of the citizenry and the development of the society.

**Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) Transition to Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)**

MDGs during its 15 years benchmark made an appreciable effort in ensuring development globally mainly through array of issues such as halving poverty, hunger, disease, gender inequality, child mortality and improving maternal health. However, not all countries, especially Sub-Saharan African countries are on track with the realization of the goals. Poverty, gender inequality, child mortality and combat of diseases still remain a daunting challenge in most countries (MDG Report, 2015).

In a bid to address these daunting challenges associated with MDGs and to sustain the positive results achieved by the programme, the global community adopted a Post 2015
development agenda known as the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The SDGs which was launched September 25, 2015 and commenced operation in 2016 comprises of 17 targets with the aim to improve livelihood, stability of the economy and environment, and protect the planet for future generations (Sachs, 2012; Emas, 2015:2-3; Waziri, 2015).

The goals are as follows:

1) End poverty in all ramifications
2) End hunger, food security and promote sustainable agriculture
3) Good Health and Wellbeing
4) Quality Education and life-long opportunities
5) Gender Equality and Women empowerment
6) Clean Water and Sanitation for all
7) Accessible, Affordable, Reliable and Sustainable energy for all
8) Promote Decent Work and Economic Growth
9) Promote sustainable industrialization
10) Reduce Inequalities within and among countries
11) Build inclusive safe and sustainable cities and communities
12) Promote sustainable consumption and production pattern
13) Urgent action to address Climate Change
14) Conservative and Sustainable use of marine resources, oceans and seas
15) Protect, Restore and Promote terrestrial ecosystem and halt biodiversity loss.
16) Promote peaceful and inclusive society, rule of law, effective and accountable society while ensuring sustainable development
17) Strengthen mean of implementation and global partnership for sustainable development.

The goals which are in line with Spangenberg (2005) and Rio Earth Summit (1992) is seen as a prerequisite for sustainable development. It emphasises on the economic, environmental, social and institutional issues of the society. Spangenberg argues that the four systems have to maintain its capability to survive and evolve in meeting up with impending demands.

It should be considered that the SDGs is a broader version of the MDGs by taking into consideration loopholes of the MDGs and relative challenges of the society. For instance, the Goal 2 of the MDGs focused on achieving universal primary education inadvertently
neglecting secondary and the tertiary education. However, Goal 4 of the SDGs which speaks on Quality education focuses on the whole aspect of education. Notably also, in 2000; there was relative stability, prosperity, climate calmness and global harmony. Now on the contrary, the world is experiencing complex problems like global warming, population influx, increasing urbanization, insurgencies, insecurity, terrorism, depleting economy and food security (Adejumo, 2015, Igbuzor, 2015, UNDP, 2016).

Hence, these evolving glitches was a welcoming need for the SDGs. Waziri (2015) notes that the attainment of SDGs will free the human race from tyranny and want, and secure the planets for the present and yet to come generations.

**Sustainable Development Goals in Nigeria: An Engaging Bowl**

MDGs gave impetus to Nigerian developmental growth, even though, the country did not meet up with the required targets of the MDGs as noted in the earlier part of the paper. Therefore, in a bid to sustain the positive results yielded from the MDGs, improve the lives of the people and focus more on the achievement of the dawdling goals, Nigeria joined other member countries in the United Nations in adopting the post 2015 development agenda known as the SDGs.

The SDGs which is an inclusive developmental plan focuses on six essential elements: human dignity, human advancement, planet warmth, prosperity, developmental partnership, justice and equity. These elements just like MDGs aim to end extreme poverty anywhere and everywhere, transform live, improve the planet and promote socio economic development (Ihejirika, 2015).

The SDG agenda which is a broader version of MDGs as stated above and as seen in the figure below comprises of unfinished goals in line with the MDGs. These goals include:

- Goal 1- Ending poverty in all ramifications
- Goal 2- Ending hunger in all its form and promoting sustainable agriculture
- Goal 3- Ensuring Healthy lives and Comprehensive well-being for all ages
- Goal 4- Quality Education for all educational phases (primary, secondary, tertiary)
- Goal 5- Achieving Gender equality and women empowerment

According to Ihejirika (2015), these first five goals are crucial to Nigerian development owing to them being residual goals of the MDGs. Additionally, scholars such as Adegbulu (2015) and Igbuzor (2015) have also included Goal 6-Clean water and Sanitation for all; Goal 8- Promote decent work and Economic Growth for all, to be of immeasurable essence.
Implicitly, all these stated goals are prerequisite for Nigerian development and have become policies which have been enshrined in various pro-developmental interventions within the country such as NEEDS, Vision 2020 and the Transformation Agenda (Igbuzor, 2015; Odunyemi, 2015:38). Likewise, more of these SDG targets are interwoven. For instance, managing agricultural productivity will not be conceivable if there is no income and good health. Also, getting a good and decent job (Goal 8) will reduce inequality in the society (Goal 10); lift one of poverty (Goal 1); avert hunger (Goal 2) and give one access to a good health and well-being (Goal 3). On the contrary, getting a good job cannot be possible without having a quality education (Goal 4) and an accessible industry and infrastructure (Goal 9). From another point of view, eradication of poverty will lead to the falling in place of SDG targets such as having access to quality education, good health and wellbeing, clean water and sanitation and reduction of inequality.

**Emerging Priorities in Attaining Sustainable Development Goals in Nigeria**

The adoption of the MDG in Nigeria did not lapse without leaving a considerable impact in the country. Altogether, the developmental agenda left down lessons which if put to use will yield to fruitful results in the new post 2015 development agenda:

1) **Early Commencement:** Unlike the MDGs which did not start till 2005 due to financial constraints and the debt owed by Nigeria, there is need for early commencement of the SDGs implementation. As noted, the late commencement of the MDGs could be alienated to the negative impact and slow outcome of the MDG target (Igbuzor, 2015; Nigeria MDG Report, 2015: xxiii).

2) **Funding:** This consequential effect that affected the MDGs and also aided in its delay in commencement. In realizing the set goals of the SDGs, financial resources have to be pumped into programmes, policies and interventions that will lead to its actualization. Part of this requires maintaining Nigeria’s pledge to the Paris club by utilizing the estimated US$8 billion available in the Virtual Property Fund in appropriate and shrewd manner (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2015). In same way, the Nigeria 2016 budget generated room for the SDG programme by allocating

---

Interwoven here means the realization of one target will lead to the achievement of the other.
a total of N8, 495,940,103 for the smooth implementation of the SDGs (Odunsi, 2015; Onyekpere, 2016).

3) Political Will and Policy Ideas: In ensuring active steps towards development, there must be a strong political will and policy idea towards the actualization of such a programme or goal. On this note, in eradicating poverty and improving the lives of the citizens as an indispensable condition for sustainable development, President Muhammadu Buhari has visualized developmental interventions such as the Youth Empowerment Plan, Provision of one meal per day and the transfer of N5000 monthly to vulnerable citizens of the country (Usman, 2015; Vanguard, 2015; Biafra Today, 2016; Nwabughiogu, 2016)

4) Ownership and Universality: Development lead to good change based on the capacity and needs of the people (Igbuzor, 2005). Therefore, SDGs has to prioritize according to Nation’s capacities and pressing needs taking into account also the constraints and opportunities that lie there in. For Nigeria, SDGs 1,2,3, 4,5,6,8 should be vigorously focused on in the early stage of the implementation of the SDGs mainly because they are shortfalls from the MDGs

5) Accurate, Reliable and Inclusive Data: Having an accurate and reliable data is essential in planning developmental programmes and policies. According to Ejemudo (2013:78), a country that does not have accurate data will not be able to put in place necessary strategies and policies. For a country like Nigeria, data is a scarce commodity and this has brought about challenges during the MDGs era. For example on Health, the data generated by the National Bureau of Statistics (NBS) sometimes conflict with those of the National Population Commission (Nigeria MDGs Report, 2015). Also, the data report on the poverty level of Nigerians by NBS contradicts that of the World Bank even though same measuring stick was used (Nigeria MDG Report, 2015).

6) Good Governance: It is anticipated that getting governance right is the fulcrum for achieving developmental programmes. This is indeed the reason why scholars (Kemp, Parto and Gibson, 2005; Oshelowo, 2011: 18-19; Sachs, 2012; Igbuzor, 2013; Terungwa and Akwen, 2014) have attributed good governance as a prerequisite to development. The sphere of good governance which includes but
not limited to identifying the needs of the citizens, prioritizing such needs, harnessing available resources with which to meet the needs, distribute the resources among the needs and ensure the judicial use of the resources (Terungwa and Akwen, 2014:122). Anger (2010) also stated the qualities of Good Governance as:

- Engaging the people in the conduct and management of affair
- Accountability and Transparency in the mobilization and utilization of resources
- Responsibility and Responsiveness in public service
- Effective and Efficient manner in handling public/ personal affairs

On this ground, developmental deficiencies such as corruption, deficiency in monitoring, inequality, policy inconsistency amongst others cannot be abetted. All of which have led to the past failure of developmental programmes in Nigeria (Ajiye, 2014:32; Terungwa and Akwen, 2014:126).

**Conclusion**

The end of MDGs has demonstrated that the world can be united on a global course in seeking for development. The era which end with successes and challenges in varying countries with Nigeria as no exception, has steered the establishment of a post development agenda known as the Sustainable Development Goals.

The SDGs which reflect a broader and better coverage of the totality of the social, economic, environmental and institutional system if adopted and pursued with greater commitment and meticulousness will improve the wellbeing and lives of a country’s citizenry.

Hence, as Nigeria commences the post development agenda, the lessons, successes and challenges learnt from the MDGs will help in navigating challenges that might be faced in the new Sustainable Development Goals and in formulating holistic policies needed to promote an inclusive economic development and environmental sustainability such as the Conditional Cash Transfer which implicitly is a way to impact on the lives of the masses and if implemented effectively will reduce poverty.
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